



ATTORNEY GENERAL OF TEXAS  
GREG ABBOTT

January 14, 2004

Mr. Michael F. Miller  
Assistant City Attorney  
City of Galveston  
P.O. Box 779  
Galveston, Texas 77553-0779

OR2004-0324

Dear Mr. Miller:

You ask whether certain information is subject to required public disclosure under the Public Information Act (the "act"), chapter 552 of the Government Code. Your request was assigned ID# 194338.

The City of Galveston (the "city") received a request for the following information: (1) documents used by the city manager in confirming the disciplinary action taken against a former city employee represented by the requestor; (2) documents used by the city public works director in the dismissal of the former employee; (3) documents used by the city public works director in placing the former employee on administrative leave without pay; (4) documents demonstrating the former employee's completion of a specific training program conducted by the city; (5) materials used in this training program; and (6) the former employee's personnel file. You claim that the requested information is excepted from disclosure under section 552.103 of the Government Code. We have considered the exception you claim and reviewed the submitted information.<sup>1</sup>

---

<sup>1</sup>We note that you have redacted portions of the submitted information prior to submitting such information for our review. We advise that section 552.301 of the Government Code requires a governmental body to submit responsive information in a manner that permits this office to review the information. *See* Gov't Code § 552.301(e)(1)(D). Therefore, the department risks non-compliance with section 552.301 if it fails to submit responsive documents in non-redacted form. Such non-compliance can result in a conclusion from this office that the information at issue must be released. *See* Gov't Code §§ 552.006, .301, .302. We accordingly advise that with respect to any future requests for an open records decision from this office, the department should submit any responsive documents in non-redacted form. *See also id.* § 552.3035 (attorney general may not disclose to requestor or public any information submitted to attorney general under section 552.301(e)(1)(D)).

Initially, we note that you did not submit information responsive to parts 4 and 5 of the present request. Further, you have not indicated that such information does not exist or that you wish to withhold any such information from disclosure. Therefore, to the extent information responsive to this aspect of the request exists, we assume that you have released it to the requestor. If you have not released any such information, you must release it to the requestor at this time. See Gov't Code §§ 552.301(a), .302.

Furthermore, we note that a portion of the submitted information is subject to required public disclosure under section 552.022 of the Government Code, which provides in relevant part:

the following categories of information are public information and not excepted from required disclosure under this chapter unless they are expressly confidential under other law:

- (1) a completed report, audit, evaluation, or investigation made of, for, or by a governmental body, except as provided by Section 552.108[.]

Gov't Code § 552.022(a)(1). The information at issue includes completed performance evaluations, which we have marked. Therefore, as prescribed by section 552.022, the city must release this information unless it is confidential under other law. Section 552.103 is a discretionary exception to disclosure that protects the governmental body's interests and therefore is not other law that makes information expressly confidential for purposes of section 552.022(a). See *Dallas Area Rapid Transit v. Dallas Morning News*, 4 S.W.3d 469 (Tex. App.—Dallas 1999, no pet.) (governmental body may waive section 552.103); see also Open Records Decision No. 665 at 2 n.5 (2000) (discretionary exceptions generally). Thus, the city may not withhold the marked performance evaluations under section 552.103. However, we will address your arguments under section 552.103 with respect to the remainder of the submitted information responsive to parts 1, 2, 3, and 6 of the present request.

Section 552.103 provides as follows:

- (a) Information is excepted from [required public disclosure] if it is information relating to litigation of a civil or criminal nature to which the state or a political subdivision is or may be a party or to which an officer or employee of the state or a political subdivision, as a consequence of the person's office or employment, is or may be a party.

....

- (c) Information relating to litigation involving a governmental body or an officer or employee of a governmental body is excepted from disclosure

under Subsection (a) only if the litigation is pending or reasonably anticipated on the date that the requestor applies to the officer for public information for access to or duplication of the information.

A governmental body has the burden of providing relevant facts and documents to show that the section 552.103(a) exception is applicable in a particular situation. The test for meeting this burden is a showing that (1) litigation was pending or reasonably anticipated on the date the governmental body received the request for information, and (2) the information at issue is related to that litigation. *See Univ. of Tex. Law Sch. v. Tex. Legal Found.*, 958 S.W.2d 479, 481 (Tex. App.—Austin 1997, no pet.); *Heard v. Houston Post Co.*, 684 S.W.2d 210, 212 (Tex. App.—Houston [1st Dist.] 1984, writ ref'd n.r.e.); Open Records Decision No. 551 at 4 (1990). A governmental body must meet both prongs of this test for information to be excepted under 552.103(a).

You have submitted a copy of the notice of a charge of discrimination against the city that the former employee filed with the Equal Employment Opportunity Commission ("E.E.O.C") on or about September 16, 2003. This office has stated that a pending E.E.O.C. complaint indicates litigation is reasonably anticipated and therefore meets the first prong of the section 552.103(a) test. *See* Open Records Decision Nos. 386 at 2 (1983), 336 at 1 (1982). The information at issue is related to that litigation, thereby satisfying the second prong of the section 552.103(a) test.

However, we note that some of the information at issue appears to have been obtained from or provided to the opposing party. Once information has been obtained by all parties to the litigation through discovery or otherwise, no section 552.103(a) interest exists with respect to that information. *See* Open Records Decision Nos. 349 (1982), 320 (1982). Further, the applicability of section 552.103(a) ends once the litigation has been concluded. *See* Attorney General Opinion MW-575 (1982); Open Records Decision No. 350 (1982). Thus, information that has either been obtained from or provided to the opposing party in the anticipated lawsuit is not excepted from disclosure under section 552.103(a) and must be disclosed. The city may withhold the remaining information under section 552.103 of the Government Code.

This letter ruling is limited to the particular records at issue in this request and limited to the facts as presented to us; therefore, this ruling must not be relied upon as a previous determination regarding any other records or any other circumstances.

This ruling triggers important deadlines regarding the rights and responsibilities of the governmental body and of the requestor. For example, governmental bodies are prohibited from asking the attorney general to reconsider this ruling. Gov't Code § 552.301(f). If the governmental body wants to challenge this ruling, the governmental body must appeal by filing suit in Travis County within 30 calendar days. *Id.* § 552.324(b). In order to get the full benefit of such an appeal, the governmental body must file suit within 10 calendar days.

*Id.* § 552.353(b)(3), (c). If the governmental body does not appeal this ruling and the governmental body does not comply with it, then both the requestor and the attorney general have the right to file suit against the governmental body to enforce this ruling. *Id.* § 552.321(a).

If this ruling requires the governmental body to release all or part of the requested information, the governmental body is responsible for taking the next step. Based on the statute, the attorney general expects that, within 10 calendar days of this ruling, the governmental body will do one of the following three things: 1) release the public records; 2) notify the requestor of the exact day, time, and place that copies of the records will be provided or that the records can be inspected; or 3) notify the requestor of the governmental body's intent to challenge this letter ruling in court. If the governmental body fails to do one of these three things within 10 calendar days of this ruling, then the requestor should report that failure to the attorney general's Open Government Hotline, toll free, at (877) 673-6839. The requestor may also file a complaint with the district or county attorney. *Id.* § 552.3215(e).

If this ruling requires or permits the governmental body to withhold all or some of the requested information, the requestor can appeal that decision by suing the governmental body. *Id.* § 552.321(a); *Texas Dep't of Pub. Safety v. Gilbreath*, 842 S.W.2d 408, 411 (Tex. App.—Austin 1992, no writ).

Please remember that under the act the release of information triggers certain procedures for costs and charges to the requestor. If records are released in compliance with this ruling, be sure that all charges for the information are at or below the legal amounts. Questions or complaints about over-charging must be directed to Hadassah Schloss at the Texas Building and Procurement Commission at (512) 475-2497.

If the governmental body, the requestor, or any other person has questions or comments about this ruling, they may contact our office. We note that a third party may challenge this ruling by filing suit seeking to withhold information from a requestor. Gov't Code § 552.325. Although there is no statutory deadline for contacting us, the attorney general prefers to receive any comments within 10 calendar days of the date of this ruling.

Sincerely,



Steven W. Bartels  
Assistant Attorney General  
Open Records Division

SWB/seg

Ref: ID# 194338

Enc. Submitted documents

c: Mr. Otto D. Hewitt, III  
Attorney at Law  
1350 NASA Road One, Suite 203  
Houston, Texas 77058  
(w/o enclosures)